# **Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton**

Courtroom 3, 17th Floor

Lurline Moriyama, Courtroom Deputy (415) 522-2023

# STANDING ORDER FOR PATENT CASES

The following instructions shall apply to all patent cases assigned to Judge Phyllis Hamilton.

#### Joint Claim Construction Statement

- 1. The joint claim construction statement required by Pat. L. R. 4-3 shall be truly joint. Disputed terms, phrases, and clauses shall be clearly designated as disputed. All other terms shall be presumed undisputed. For any term in dispute, the parties must agree on what the term actually is. With regard to disputed terms, phrases, or clauses, the joint statement shall list each disputed term, phrase, or clause (listed by claim); each party's proposed construction; and support for each party's proposed construction side by side. A model construction statement is attached to this order.
- 2. Parties shall attach to the joint claim construction statement copies of all patents in dispute. Parties shall also make a complete prosecution history for each patent available to the court upon request.

### Claim Construction

- 3. As an initial matter, the court will construe no more than approximately ten terms total. If more than approximately ten terms are in dispute, the parties shall meet and confer before the preparation of the joint claim construction statement on narrowing the selection of terms to be construed by the court and shall jointly propose the ten terms requiring construction.
- 4. If a party genuinely believes that it will require that more than approximately ten terms be construed, that party may request pursuant to Civ. L. R. 7-10(b) leave to designate additional terms for construction. The requesting party must demonstrate good cause and explain why other methods of limiting the claims at issue (such as the selection of representative claims or any grouping of claims by issues presented) would be ineffective. The request must be filed no later than two weeks before the deadline for filing the joint claim construction statement. If good cause is shown, the court will either agree to construe all terms or, if necessary, schedule a second claim construction proceeding on the terms that exceed ten. If more than approximately ten terms are submitted for construction without leave of court, the court

will construe the first ten terms listed in the joint claim construction statement and sanctions may be imposed.

5. Claim construction briefs shall address each disputed term, but only those that are truly disputed, following the order of the joint statement. The court anticipates that a meaningful meet and confer preceding the preparation of the joint claim construction statement will obviate the need for a party to propose in its briefs a claim construction that differs from that proposed in the statement. While the court encourages the parties to negotiate mutually agreeable constructions, the court discourages the parties from proposing new constructions for the first time in reply briefs or other filings which do not afford the opposing party an opportunity to respond. However, if it becomes necessary for a party to propose a different construction in its brief than that found in the joint claim construction statement, that party must clearly set forth the new construction and explain the basis for the change. Additionally, that party shall revise the joint claim construction statement, so that the court will have one document reflecting all current proposed constructions.

## Tutorial and Claim Construction Hearing

- 6. The court will schedule a tutorial to occur two to four weeks prior to the claim construction hearing. Each side will be permitted 30-45 minutes to present a summary of the background of the technology involved, an explanation of the nature of the problem the inventor sought to solve, and reference to the prior art in existence at the time of conception. The patent holder will make the first presentation. Visual aids are encouraged. The court prefers that someone other than counsel make the presentation. No argument or examination will be permitted. The proceeding is not recorded and statements made during the tutorial may not be cited as judicial admissions against a party.
- 7. Prehearing conferences are not generally held. However, either party may request a telephone conference within two weeks prior to the hearing, or the parties may address any prehearing issues at the tutorial.
- 8. The patent holder will act as the moving party for the purposes of claim construction. Opening briefs in support of claim construction must be filed at least six weeks before the date of the claim construction hearing, and the briefing schedule set forth at Patent L. R. 4-5 will apply.
- 9. The court will not ordinarily hear extrinsic evidence at the claim construction hearing. Should it become apparent that testimony will be necessary, counsel may request a telephone conference with the court within two weeks of the hearing to seek the court's approval.
- 10. Demonstrative exhibits and visual aids are permissible at the hearing as long as they are based on information contained in the papers already filed. Counsel shall exchange copies of exhibits no later than forty eight hours prior to the hearing.

11. The claim construction hearing will generally be scheduled for no longer than 3 hours on Wednesday's law and motion calendar. However, the court will specially set the hearing on a different day and for a longer period of time if warranted. Counsel should request a telephone conference with the court as soon as it is apparent that a special setting is necessary.

## Subsequent Case Management Conference

- 12. Upon issuance of the claim construction ruling, the court will also set a date for a further case management conference. In the case management statement to be filed 7 calendar days prior to the conference, the parties must address the following topics:
- a) whether either party wishes to certify the claim construction ruling for immediate appeal to the Federal Circuit;
- b) the filing of dispositive motions, and if more than one round of motions is desired, an explanation why all such motions cannot be filed and heard at one time:
- c) if willful infringement has been asserted, whether the allegedly-infringing party wishes to rely on the advice of counsel defense. If so, the parties should be prepared to address proposals for resolving any attorney-client privilege issues that arise, and whether the parties believe bifurcation of the trial into liability and damages phases would be appropriate;
  - d) anticipated post-claim construction discovery;
  - e) any other pretrial matters; and
  - f) the progress of settlement discussions, if any.

#### Miscellaneous

13. All stipulated protective orders and filings shall comply with Civ. L. R. 79-5 and this court's standing order on confidential documents. Parties shall also submit an unredacted chambers copy of any brief lodged under seal with all confidential material highlighted.

# **Sample Claim Construction Statement**

Claim Language (Disputed Terms in <b>Bold</b> ) 'xxx Patent	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	Defendant's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support
1. A method for	duck	duck
counting ducks,		
comprising the steps of:	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: a bird that quacks.	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: a bird that swims
[or]	DICTIONARY/TREATISE DEFINITIONS: Webster's	DICTIONARY/TREATISE DEFINITIONS: Random House
ducks	Dictionary ("duck: bird that quacks"); Field Guide ("bird call:	Dictionary ("an aquatic bird"); Field Guide (same)
Found in claim numbers:	quack");	
	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE: 'xxx	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE: 'xxx
'xxx Patent: y, z	Patent col: ("distinctive	Patent col _: ("ducks may be
'yyy Patent: a, b	honking"); Prosecution History at ("This patent is distinguished	found on or near bodies of water"); Prosecution History at ("water
	from the prior art in that the	fowl are particularly amenable to
	quacking of the bird is featured").	being counted by this method").
	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE:	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE: G. Marx
	McDonald Depo. at xx:xx ("I'd say	Depo at xx:xx ("like a duck to
	the quacking makes it a duck");	water"); '456 Patent at col _:;
	'123 Patent at col _:; Donald Decl. at ¶	Daffy Decl. at ¶

(Or any other substantively similar format that permits the court to compare terms side by side)

# NOT:

Claim Language (Disputed Terms in <b>Bold</b> )	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	Defendant's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support
'xxx Patent		
1. A method for counting <b>ducks</b>	duck	counting ducks